# Parallelization of Discrete Stochastic Arithmetic on multicore architectures

Fabienne Jézéquel<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Luc Lamotte<sup>1</sup>, Olena Chubach<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire d'Informatique de Paris 6 Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris France

<sup>2</sup>Odessa I. I. Mechnikov National University, Odessa, Ukraine

10th International Conference on Information Technology: New Generations, ITNG 2013 April 15-17, 2013 Las Vegas, Nevada, USA

#### Introduction

#### Discrete Stochastic Arithmetic (DSA)

- based on a probabilistic approach
- enables one to estimate round-off error propagation in a program ©
- cost (memory, execution time) ©

How to take benefit of multicore architectures to reduce the cost of DSA for the numerical validation of sequential programs?

## The CESTAC method

M. La Porte, J. Vignes, 1974

The implementation of the CESTAC method in a code providing a result *R* consists in:

- performing N times this code with the random rounding mode to obtain N samples R<sub>i</sub> of R,
- choosing as the computed result the mean value  $\overline{R}$  of  $R_i$ , i = 1, ..., N,
- estimating the number of exact significant decimal digits of  $\overline{R}$  with

$$C_{\overline{R}} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sqrt{N} |\overline{R}|}{\sigma \tau_{\beta}} \right)$$

where

$$\overline{R} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i$$
 and  $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (R_i - \overline{R})^2$ .

 $\tau_{\beta}$  is the value of Student's distribution for N-1 degrees of freedom and a probability level  $\beta$ .

In pratice, N = 3 and  $\beta = 95\%$ .

#### Self-validation of the CESTAC method

The CESTAC method is based on a 1st order model.

- A multiplication of two insignificant results
- or a division by an insignificant result

may invalidate the 1st order approximation.

Therefore the CESTAC method requires a dynamical control of multiplications and divisions, during the execution of the code.

# The concept of computed zero

J. Vignes, 1986

#### Definition

Using the CESTAC method, a result R is a computed zero, denoted by @.0, if

$$\forall i, R_i = 0 \text{ or } C_{\overline{R}} \leq 0.$$

It means that R is a computed result which, because of round-off errors, cannot be distinguished from 0.

## The stochastic definitions

#### Definition

Let X and Y be two results computed using the CESTAC method (N-sample), X is stochastically equal to Y, noted X S= Y, if and only if

$$X - Y = 0.0.$$

#### Definition

Let X and Y be two results computed using the CESTAC method (N-sample).

• X is stochastically strictly greater than Y, noted X > Y, if and only if

$$\overline{X} > \overline{Y}$$
 and  $X s \neq Y$ 

• X is stochastically greater than or equal to Y, noted  $X \le Y$ , if and only if

$$\overline{X} \geq \overline{Y}$$
 or  $X s = Y$ 

**Discrete Stochastic Arithmetic** (DSA) is defined as the joint use of the CESTAC method, the computed zero and the stochastic relation definitions.

## The CADNA library http://www.lip6.fr/cadna

The CADNA library implements Discrete Stochastic Arithmetic.

CADNA allows to estimate round-off error propagation in any scientific program written in Fortran or in C++.

More precisely, CADNA enables one to:

- estimate the numerical quality of any result
- control branching statements
- perform a dynamic numerical debugging
- take into account uncertainty on data.

CADNA provides new numerical types, the stochastic types, which consist of:

- 3 floating point variables
- an integer variable to store the accuracy.

All operators and mathematical functions are overloaded for these types.

## Parallelization of Discrete Stochastic Arithmetic

3 UNIX processes are executed in parallel.

They exchange information through a communication system.

Functions and operations that require data exchange:

1st group: synchronization required

...to ensure all processes compute the same result and perform the same sequence of instructions.

- equality and order relational operations
- the absolute value function
- conversions from a stochastic type to a classical floating-point type
- functions which compute the number of exact significant digits of results

## Parallelization of Discrete Stochastic Arithmetic

3 UNIX processes are executed in parallel.

They exchange information through a communication system.

Functions and operations that require data exchange:

1st group: synchronization required

...to ensure all processes compute the same result and perform the same sequence of instructions.

2nd group: a part of the computation can be performed later

- multiplications
- divisions

The control of instabilities can be postponed. It has no impact on the choice of the next instructions.

```
user program:
cadna_init(-1);
...
```

- Creation of a shared memory segment
- Launch of 2 other identical processes (fork UNIX function)

```
process 1: process 2: process 3: ...
```

$$\frac{\text{user program:}}{\text{cadna\_init(-1);}}$$

$$...$$

$$A = ...$$

$$B = ...$$

All assignments, arithmetical operations and mathematical functions are overloaded.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline process 1: & process 2: & process 3: \\ \hline ... & ... & ... \\ \hline A_1 = ... & A_2 = ... & A_3 = ... \\ \hline B_1 = ... & B_2 = ... & B_3 = ... \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{user program:}}{\text{cadna\_init(-1);}}$$

$$...$$

$$A = ...$$

$$B = ...$$
if  $(A == B)$ 

Each process computes the difference between its operands. Associativity is not necessarily satisfied in IEEE floating-point arithmetic  $\Rightarrow$  the 3 processes must have the same ordered triplet  $D=(D_1,D_2,D_3)$ . The number  $C_{\overline{D}}$  of exact significant digits of D is computed by all processes.

process 1:	process 2:	process 3:		
$A_1 =$	$A_2 =$	$A_3 =$		
$B_1 =$	$B_2 =$	$B_3 =$		
$D_1 = A_1 - B_1$	$D_2=A_2-B_2$	$D_3=A_3-B_3$		
all_to_all_exchange( $D_1$ , $D_2$ , $D_3$ )				
$D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$	$D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$	$D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$		
if $(D == 0.0)$	if $(D == 0.0)$	if $(D == 0.0)$		

user program:  
cadna\_init(-1);  
...  

$$A = ...$$
  
 $B = ...$   
if  $(A == B)$   
...  
cadna\_end();

The branch chosen is the same for the three processes.

process 1:	process 2:	process 3:	
$A_1 =$	$A_2 =$	$A_3 =$	
$B_1 =$	$B_2 =$	$B_3 =$	
$D_1=A_1-B_1$	$D_2=A_2-B_2$	$D_3=A_3-B_3$	
all_to_all_exchange( $D_1$ , $D_2$ , $D_3$ )			
$D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$	$D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$	$D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$	
if $(D == 0.0)$	if $(D == 0.0)$	if $(D == 0.0)$	

## Several multicore versions

- with synchronous data exchange any data exchange is performed synchronously
- with a validation box
  - 1st group of functions or operations: synchronizations
  - 2nd group of functions or operations (multiplications, divisions):
     the control of accuracy can be postponed

Computation box: 3 processes run 3 instances of the program and fill buffers with multiplication operands & divisors

Validation box: 1 process checks their accuracy

with a validation box and an accuracy variable associated with any stochastic number

Without it, the accuracy of a stochastic number may be computed several times even if this number is not modified.

## Several multicore versions

- with synchronous data exchange any data exchange is performed synchronously
- with a validation box
  - 1st group of functions or operations: synchronizations
  - 2nd group of functions or operations (multiplications, divisions):
     the control of accuracy can be postponed
- with a validation box and an accuracy variable associated with any stochastic number

Without it, the accuracy of a stochastic number may be computed several times even if this number is not modified.

Performance test (quad-core Intel i5-2500 processor, gcc 4.6.3 compiler) Matrix multiplication & linear system solving using Jacobi method Versions 1 &  $3 \Rightarrow$  similar performance cost reduced by  $\approx 2$  w.r.t. the sequential CADNA library.

# Computation of integrals using the trapezoidal method

$$I_1 = \int_1^{100} f_1(x) dx$$
 with  $f_1(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x} + \cos(x) \exp(\sin(x))$ 

Execution	instability	execution	ratio
	detection	time (s)	
IEEE	-	8.80	1
sequential DSA	full	94.00	10.7
	self-validation	66.17	7.5
	no detection	57.57	6.5
parallel DSA	self-validation	56.73	6.4
(synchronous exchange)	no detection	30.59	3.5
parallel DSA	self-validation	35.11	4.0
(validation box)	no detection	28.06	3.2
parallel DSA	self-validation	32.28	3.7
(validation box & accuracy)	no detection	32.24	3.7

# Computation of integrals using the trapezoidal method

$$I_2 = \int_{-1}^2 f_2(x) dx$$
 with  $f_2(x) = \frac{2x^5 - 10x^4 + 5x^3 - 60x^2 + 80x + 37}{8x^4 + 13x^3 - 38x^2 + 43x + 513}$ 

 $f_2$  is particularly unfavourable to DSA, because it contains mathematical expressions that are efficiently computed using IEEE floating-point arithmetic.

Execution	instability	execution	ratio
	detection	time (s)	
IEEE	-	0.22	1
sequential DSA	full	40.18	182.6
	self-validation	28.15	128.0
	no detection	20.02	91.0
parallel DSA	self-validation	17.91	81.4
(synchronous exchange)	no detection	10.96	49.8
parallel DSA	self-validation	23.09	105.0
(validation box)	no detection	8.71	39.6
parallel DSA	self-validation	10.85	49.3
(validation box & accuracy)	no detection	10.81	49.1

# Numerical validation of the shallow-water application

Simulation of the linear flow of a nonviscous fluid in shallow-water environment with a free surface (over 8,000 lines of codes)

#### Numerical instabilities:

- 212 unstable multiplications
- 149,564 losses of accuracy due to cancellations

Execution	instability	execution	ratio
	detection	time (s)	
IEEE	-	7.76	1
sequential DSA	full	192.38	24.8
	self-validation	70.64	9.1
	no detection	70.65	9.1
parallel DSA	self-validation	41.34	5.3
(synchronous exchange)	no detection	19.42	2.5
parallel DSA	self-validation	25.28	3.3
(validation box)	no detection	16.75	2.2
parallel DSA	self-validation	20.17	2.6
(validation box & accuracy)	no detection	20.19	2.6

# Numerical validation of the shallow-water application

Simulation of the linear flow of a nonviscous fluid in shallow-water environment with a free surface (over 8,000 lines of codes)

Execution	instability	execution	ratio
Execution	detection		Tallo
	detection	time (s)	
IEEE	-	7.76	1
sequential DSA	full	192.38	24.8
	self-validation	70.64	9.1
	no detection	70.65	9.1
parallel DSA	self-validation	41.34	5.3
(synchronous exchange)	no detection	19.42	2.5
parallel DSA	self-validation	25.28	3.3
(validation box)	no detection	16.75	2.2
parallel DSA	self-validation	20.17	2.6
(validation box & accuracy)	no detection	20.19	2.6

- moderate cost of DSA: the shallow-water application performs not only computation but also I/O tasks.
- cost reduced by 3.5 w.r.t. the sequential CADNA library with self-validation.

#### Conclusion

Recommended version: validation box and accuracy variable

cost reduced by  $\approx$  2 w.r.t. the sequential CADNA library

The cost on a computation kernel may be high. It usually becomes reasonable on a real-life application.

same modifications required by the sequential CADNA library and our parallel implementation of DSA.

#### Recommended strategy:

- execution with our parallel implementation of DSA to check the numerical quality of the results
- of for a more detailed analysis execution with the CADNA library instructions responsible for numerical instabilities:
  - identified with a debugger
  - if possible, modified to improve the numerical quality of the results.