

A Modular Design for Geo-Distributed Querying

Work in Progress Report

Dimitrios Vasilas
Scality
Sorbonne Université - LIP6 & Inria
Paris, France

Marc Shapiro
Sorbonne Université - LIP6 & Inria
Paris, France

Bradley King
Scality
Paris, France

ABSTRACT

Most distributed storage systems provide limited abilities for querying data by attributes other than their primary keys. Supporting efficient search on secondary attributes is challenging as applications pose varying requirements to query processing systems, and no single system design can be suitable for all needs. In this paper, we show how to overcome these challenges in order to extend distributed data stores to support queries on secondary attributes. We propose a modular architecture that is flexible and allows query processing systems to make trade-offs according to different use case requirements. We describe adaptive mechanisms that make use of this flexibility to enable query processing systems to dynamically adjust to query and write operation workloads.

KEYWORDS

Distributed Storage Systems, Query Processing, Secondary Indexing

ACM Reference Format:

Dimitrios Vasilas, Marc Shapiro, and Bradley King. 2018. A Modular Design for Geo-Distributed Querying: Work in Progress Report. In *PaPoC'18: 5th Workshop on Principles and Practice of Consistency for Distributed Data*, April 23–26, 2018, Porto, Portugal. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3194261.3194265>

1 INTRODUCTION

Large-scale distributed storage systems, such as BigTable, Dynamo, Cassandra and HBase among others, are an essential component of cloud computing applications. While these storage systems offer significant performance and scalability advantages to applications, they achieve these properties by exposing a simple API that allows objects to be retrieved only by using the key under which they were inserted. However, applications often require the ability to access data by performing queries on secondary attributes. For example, a media application that manages video and image files, can benefit from the ability to tag and retrieve objects using attributes describing the content type, resolution format, author, copyright information, and other descriptive attributes. The restrictive key-based API makes it difficult and inefficient to implement applications that require this functionality.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

PaPoC'18, April 23–26, 2018, Porto, Portugal

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5655-8/18/04...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3194261.3194265>

A common approach to address this problem is to maintain indexes in order to achieve high-performance queries on secondary attributes. Indexing has been studied extensively in systems offering strong consistency guarantees, especially in the context of traditional database systems. However, modern NoSQL-style data stores use geo-replication across several data centres (DCs) and implement weak consistency models in which client operations are served by accessing the local replica without synchronising with other DCs, in order to avoid wide-area network latency and remain available under partition.

Indexing in this context poses several unique challenges. Guided by the storage systems' decision to favour availability and low latency over consistency, secondary indexes also need to use replication and be able to ingest updates and process queries concurrently in different replicas without requiring synchronisation. Weakly consistent indexes must ensure that index replicas converge to the same state under concurrent conflicting updates, and that the converged state is consistent with the base data. Moreover, since these storage systems are designed to achieve low-latency, index maintenance should not penalise write latency.

Secondary indexing is not suitable for all cases, since maintaining indexes incurs significant storage and maintenance costs. In cases where queries on secondary attributes are not frequent and search latency is not critical, other approaches such as scanning the underlying data store can be acceptable.

No single query processing system design can be appropriate for all uses. Design decisions cannot be made in isolation from data distribution and replication schemes [1]. At the same time, querying systems need to optimise different metrics, such as query response latency or freshness, or provide specific types of queries depending on the needs of each application. Existing approaches [2, 3, 6] are implemented by making design decisions targeting specific use case requirements and system characteristics. A promising direction can be a flexible approach that enables query processing systems to make different trade-offs and adjust to the needs of each use case.

In this paper, we propose a modular architecture for extending geo-distributed data stores with the functionality to query data using secondary attributes. Instead of making design decisions based on a specific system model or application use case, here we propose a flexible architecture where querying systems are built by interconnecting fine-grained software components. Different querying system configurations can be built using the same building blocks, each making different trade-offs and targeting specific requirements. We present ongoing work on a set of adaptive mechanisms that benefit from the flexibility of our design to enable querying systems to dynamically adapt to query and write operation workloads by adjusting the configuration.

2 DISTRIBUTED QUERYING

Distributed data stores achieve scalability by sorting and partitioning data entries using their primary keys. In such systems, queries that retrieve objects based on their secondary attributes can be performed by executing a scan of the entire dataset, where each object is inspected and matching objects are added to the query response. Moreover, all data store partitions need to be scanned for matching objects, as objects are partitioned using their primary keys, which limits the scalability of these queries.

Instead of performing a scan, index structures can be constructed over secondary attributes in order to efficiently identify objects that match a given query. Typically, a secondary index contains a set of entries, one for each existing value of the indexed attribute. Each entry can be seen as a key-value pair, where the key is an attribute value and the value is a list of pointers to all objects that have this value. The primary keys of objects are usually used as pointers.

While indexing is an efficient approach for supporting queries on secondary attributes, maintaining indexes in distributed storage systems that store large volumes of data incurs high storage and maintenance overheads. In cases where queries on secondary attributes are not frequent and query latency is not a critical requirement, the benefits of indexing may not outweigh these costs. In more complex scenarios, only certain attributes might be queried regularly and require minimal query latency while others need to be searchable with fewer requirements. A promising approach can be a querying system that efficiently combines secondary indexing and data store scans and performs optimisations such as caching of search results.

3 MODULAR QUERYING SYSTEMS

In this section we present our main contribution, a modular design for building geo-distributed querying systems. We start by presenting our design and discussing how it can be used to build distributed querying systems. We then demonstrate the usability of our approach by applying it to two use cases with different requirements, and finally describe a set of adaptive mechanisms that enable querying systems to optimise their configuration.

3.1 System Design

A modular querying system is assembled from indexing and query processing modules called Query Processing Units (QPUs). QPUs operate as services that receive and process queries. Individual QPUs perform basic indexing and query processing tasks such as maintaining indexes, caching search results, and federating query processing over geo-distributed datasets. All QPUs expose a common interface that can be used to connect them to different configurations. Querying systems are built by interconnecting QPUs in a network. Queries on secondary attributes are processed by being routed through the QPU network; individual QPUs partially process a given query, forward it through the QPU network, and combine the retrieved results.

QPUs are categorised to different classes based on their functionality:

Data Store QPU: Data store QPUs (dsQPUs) are connected to the underlying data store and process queries by scanning the




Figure 1: An example of hyperspace partitioning using an index QPU hierarchy.

dataset. Each dsQPU can be connected to a single data centre of a geo-distributed data store.

Index QPU: Index QPUs (iQPUs) maintain indexes and use them to efficiently process queries on secondary attributes. They construct their index structures by receiving write operations from the underlying data store. Same as dsQPUs, each iQPU can be connected and maintain an index for a single data centre.

Index Merge QPU: Index Merge QPUs (mQPUs) maintain secondary indexes by merging updates from multiple ingest points to a global index. They can be connected to other mQPUs, iQPUs or the underlying data store. They can be used in use cases with disjoint datasets, such as systems that use partial replication, in order maintain a global index for all objects in the system. mQPUs are responsible for resolving conflicts in index entries when merging secondary indexes.

Federation QPU: Federation QPUs (fQPUs) provide the ability to query disjoint datasets without maintaining global indexes. A fQPU can be connected to a set of QPUs of any type; when receiving a query, the fQPU forwards it to the QPUs it is connected to, retrieves the corresponding responses, and combines them to a final response. fQPUs can have more advanced functionality; for example a fQPU can forward queries to a subset of the QPUs it is connected to based on the data placement scheme.

Cache QPU: Cache QPUs (cQPUs) are used to achieve efficient query processing by caching search results and replicating indexes to multiple geographic locations. A cQPU can maintain a cache storing recent query responses of any QPU, and use it to efficiently respond to queries. Alternatively, a cQPU can be connected to an iQPU or mQPU and act as a passive replica by replicating index entries through a push or pull mechanism.

3.2 Secondary Index Design

Indexing QPUs (iQPUs and mQPUs) maintain secondary indexes for a set of secondary attributes, specified by the system configuration. They organise their secondary indexes using hyperspace partitioning [2]. Secondary attribute values are organised in a multi-dimensional space, in which the dimensional axes correspond to secondary attributes. Objects are mapped to coordinates on the hyperspace based on the values of their secondary attributes. Each QPU is responsible for responding to queries for a region of the hyperspace, defined by value ranges $[L_i, U_i]$ for a set of secondary attributes $Attr_1, Attr_2, \dots, Attr_N$, and maintains an index for that region.

Query operations have the same form; a query specifies a set of attributes and the values that they must match, which can be ranges or specific values. Based on the specified attribute values each query uniquely maps to a region of the hyperspace. Indexing QPUs use their indexes to respond to the query by retrieving all objects that fall within this region.

This index design naturally supports multi-attribute and range queries and is flexible as it allows QPUs to assign parts of their hyperspace regions to other QPUs, and forward queries that correspond to these regions to them. Using this mechanism, the hyperspace can be partitioned among multiple indexing QPUs, organised in hierarchy, as shown in Figure 1. The hierarchical structure of iQPUs forms a distributed index organised as an R-tree [5].

3.3 Index Maintenance

When a write operation occurs, secondary indexes need to be updated by creating index entries corresponding to the object's secondary attributes, and removing old ones in case of an update to an existing object. This may require distributed operations because objects and index structures may be stored on different servers. Moreover, creating new index entries and deleting old ones may require contacting multiple QPUs. Performing index maintenance operations in the critical path of updates can therefore significantly increase write latency.

Our approach performs index maintenance in the background, in order to avoid incurring overhead to write operations. Special processing modules (*Filter QPUs*) are responsible for asynchronously propagating client updates from the storage system to indexing QPUs in a streaming fashion. If two QPUs are responsible for indexing a distinct part of the attribute value space, updates can be propagated in parallel to them.

Propagating client updates asynchronously entails that secondary indexes are eventually consistent with the base data; index entries may temporarily be stale, not containing the effects of recent write operations. This can lead to two types of inconsistencies. First, there can be cases in which objects are not retrieved despite matching a query, because the corresponding index entries have not yet been created. Second, it may be possible for a query to find entries that refer to objects that no longer exist or have been updated with different attribute values. Our approach permits the first type of inconsistencies, and we present mechanisms for reducing the inconsistency window, including adjusting the amount of resources available for index maintenance. We avoid the second type of inconsistencies by using a mechanism that rechecks the actual secondary attributes of each object contained in a query response and only returns objects that match the query predicate.

3.4 Query Processing

Modular querying systems process queries by routing them through their QPU networks. As all QPUs expose a common interface, they can receive queries from users as well as perform queries to each other through their connections. Depending on its functionality, a QPU can respond to a given query using its index structures, cache or by scanning the data store. In the case of a fQPU, or if a full response cannot be obtained, the query is decomposed to a set




Figure 2: A QPU network configuration for querying in a content delivery network.

of sub-queries based on the characteristics of the QPU's connections, which are then forwarded through the network. The QPU then retrieves and combines the corresponding partial responses to calculate the final response.

This process is performed recursively at each query processing unit. A given query is thus incrementally decomposed to more fine-grained sub-queries as it is routed through the QPU network. Each sub-query is processed by a separate QPU, and partial results are then combined to the final response. This process requires that each QPU has local information about its neighbours only, and not about the entire QPU network structure.

4 EXAMPLE USE CASES

In this section we demonstrate how the proposed modular design can be used to enable querying in two different use cases of geo-distributed systems.

4.1 Content Delivery Network

Consider the example of a content delivery network (CDN) in which media files are streamed to users distributed in multiple geographic locations. Media files can be tagged with secondary attributes and users retrieve data by performing queries using these attributes. Moreover, system administrators perform queries related to data lifecycle management operations. The core of the system consists of a set of data centres (DCs) that replicate every object while, at the edge of the system, cache servers store a subset of the objects. In this scenario, objects are inserted at the core of the system, and queries are performed mostly from users at the edge.

A QPU network configuration for this use case is shown in Figure 2. A dsQPU is connected to each DC and can respond to queries by scanning the dataset, as query latency is not critical for lifecycle queries. A cQPU is connected to each dsQPU and maintains a cache of recent query responses. At the edge of the system, where applications require minimal query latency, an iQPU is connected to each cache server to maintain a secondary index for the objects stored there. A fQPU is used to enable search over the entire system by forwarding queries either to the corresponding iQPU or the core of the system, depending on the data distribution. Finally, cQPUs distribute recent query responses closer to the cache servers.




Figure 3: A QPU network configuration for querying in a data store that supports caching at client machines.

4.2 Caching at client machines

As a different use case we can consider a system that enables data caching at client machines [4] in order to allow disconnected operations. The system model is similar to the CDN use case; at the core of the system a set of data centres replicate every object, while at the edge each client maintains a cache storing a subset of the objects, on which operations are performed. Here, objects are inserted at client nodes and then propagated to the core of the system, while queries are performed mostly at the core of the system

A QPU network that is suitable for this use case is shown in Figure 3. An iQPU is connected to each client node and maintains a local index for the objects cached by that client. When objects are stored, they are indexed locally at the client iQPU. Then, a merge QPU constructs a global secondary index, and cache QPUs replicate the global index at each DC. In that way, the system maintains a global index, while clients store and update local copies of parts of the global index. Modifications to client indexes are propagated and merged to the global index. Performing index maintenance in client machines at the edge can reduce the computational load at the core of the system, and improve the availability of the global index under network partition.

4.3 Adaptive Mechanisms

So far we have described modular querying systems as static QPU networks. However, the flexibility of the modular design enables the implementation of mechanisms that allow querying systems to dynamically adjust the QPU network structure in order to adapt to query and write operation workloads.

An adaptive mechanism can be used in order to dynamically construct the indexing QPU hierarchy described in Section 3.2. In an initial configuration, a single QPU is responsible for maintaining an index for the entire hyperspace for the indexed secondary attributes. However, some regions of the hyperspace may be queried more heavily than others. Using this mechanism, when the query load in a region of the hyperspace reaches a threshold, a new indexing QPU is spawned and assigned with indexing and responding to queries for that region. Alternatively, when the query load of hyperspace region drops below a threshold, the corresponding QPU is merged with QPUs of neighbouring regions to prevent over-segmentation of the

hyperspace. Using this mechanism, QPU networks can dynamically adjust their structure to balance query load among QPUs.

QPUs operate as services and are not bound to specific physical machines. This enables the implementation of another mechanism that can dynamically adjust the amount of computation resources available for indexing and query processing. Heavily loaded QPUs can migrate to new physical machines in order to have more computation resources available, while under-utilised QPUs can be collocated on the same physical machine.

5 FINAL REMARKS

Designing a geo-distributed querying system that is efficient for all use cases is not feasible. In this paper, we have proposed a modular architecture for building multiple querying systems from the same building blocks, each targeting different use case needs. We demonstrated the usability of our approach by showing how it can be applied to different geo-distributed system scenarios. The design presented in this paper allows querying systems to dynamically adjust their configuration. This ability can be used by various mechanisms to enable querying systems to dynamically adapt to write and query workloads. We are currently implementing the proposed system in order to apply it to various geo-distributed system use cases and evaluate its behaviour and performance.

REFERENCES

- [1] Joseph Vinish D'silva, Roger Ruiz-Carrillo, Cong Yu, Muhammad Yousuf Ahmad, and Bettina Kemme. 2017. Secondary Indexing Techniques for Key-Value Stores: Two Rings To Rule Them All. In *EDBT/ICDT Workshops*.
- [2] Robert Escriva, Bernard Wong, and Emin Gün Sirer. 2012. HyperDex: A Distributed, Searchable Key-value Store. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM 2012 Conference on Applications, Technologies, Architectures, and Protocols for Computer Communication (SIGCOMM '12)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2342356.2342360>
- [3] Ankita Kejriwal, Arjun Gopalan, Ashish Gupta, Zhihao Jia, Stephen Yang, and John Ousterhout. 2016. SLIK: Scalable Low-Latency Indexes for a Key-Value Store. In *2016 USENIX Annual Technical Conference (USENIX ATC 16)*. USENIX Association, Denver, CO, 57–70. <https://www.usenix.org/conference/atc16/technical-sessions/presentation/kejriwal>
- [4] Nuno Preguiça, Marek Zawirski, Annette Bieniusa, Sérgio Duarte, Valter Ballegas, Carlos Baquero, and Marc Shapiro. 2014. SwiftCloud: Fault-Tolerant Geo-Replication Integrated All the Way to the Client Machine. In *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE 33rd International Symposium on Reliable Distributed Systems Workshops (SRDSW '14)*. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 30–33. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SRDSW.2014.33>
- [5] Timos K. Sellis, Nick Roussopoulos, and Christos Faloutsos. 1987. The R+-Tree: A Dynamic Index for Multi-Dimensional Objects. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases (VLDB '87)*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 507–518. <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=645914.671636>
- [6] Amy Tai, Michael Wei, Michael J. Freedman, Ittai Abraham, and Dahlia Malkhi. 2016. Replex: A Scalable, Highly Available Multi-index Data Store. In *Proceedings of the 2016 USENIX Conference on Usenix Annual Technical Conference (USENIX ATC '16)*. USENIX Association, Berkeley, CA, USA, 337–350. <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3026959.3026991>